FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION ENCLOSURE COVER SHEET

SUBJECT: Miburn
FILE: 44-25706
SECTION 58 OF 78
THIS SECTION IS COMPRISED OF PAGES WHICH WERE REVIEWED FOR THIS RELEASE.
THIS IS ENCLOSURE 5 OF 5 ENCLOSURE(S)

eporting Office Office of Origin 3-5-65 **JACKSON** JACKSON Report made by Title of Case BERNARD L. AKIN; ET AL JAMES EARL CHANEY. MICHAEL HENRY SCHWERNER, aka ndrew goodnan - Victins PROSECUTIVE Prosecutive Summary Report of SA 12-19-64, at Jackson dated 1-24-65 at Jackson Report of SA ADMINISTRATIVE: A Supplemental Prosecutive Summary is being submitte at this time due to the proximity of trial in this matter. This Supplemental Prosecutive Summary consists of information develor subsequent to the prosecutive summary report of SA 12-19-64 at Jackson, and contains information which was abstracted dated 1-24-65. 674 from Jackson report of SA pocial Agent Bureau (44-25706) Jackson (44-1) lec B-50 ums

All persons contacted during the course of this investigation were advised that this inquiry was being conducted at the specific request of Mr. JOHN DOAR, Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, U. S. Department of Justice.

One extra copy of this report will remain in the Jackson Division in the event of future need for this report by the Atlanta Division where JAMES EDWARD JORDAN's case has been transferred under Rule Twenty.

B*
COVER PAGE

gui

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to: 1 - USA, Jackson, Mississippi

Report of: SA

Office: **JACKSON**

Date:

Field Office File No. 44-1

Bureau File No.: 44-25706

Title:

BERNARD L. AKIN; JIMMY (NMN) ARLEDGE; HORACE DOYLE BARNETTE; TRAVIS MARYN BARNETTE; OLEN LOVELL BURRAGE; JAMES T. HARRIS; FRANK J. HERNDON; JAMES E. JORDAN; EDGAR RAY KILLEN;

XXXXXXX BILLY WAYNE POSEY; CECIL RAY PRICE; LAWRENCE ANDREW RAINEY; ALTON WAYNE ROBERTS; JERRY MC GREW SHARPE; JIMMY SNOWDEN; JIMMY LEE TOWNSEND; HERMAN

A PORTONIA

IUCKER: RICHARD ANDREW WILLIS JAMES EARL CHANEY; MICHAEL HENRY SCHWERNER; ANDREW GOODMAN - VICTIMS

Character: CIVIL RIGHTS - ELECTION LAWS; JUVENILE DELINQUENCY ACT

SUPPLEMENTAL

PROSECUTIVE SUMMARY

Synopsis:

The Federal Grand Jury which convened 1-11-65 at Jackson, Mississippi, to hear testimony concerning the abduction and murder on 6-21-64 of JAMES EARL CHANEY, MICHAEL HENRY SCHWERNER and ANDREW GOODMAN, three civil rights workers, in Neshoba County, Mississippi, returned True Bill 1-15-65 charging BERNARD L. AKIN, JIMMY (NMN) ARLEDGE, HORACE DOYLE BARNETTE, TRAVIS MARYN BARNETTE, OLEN LOVELL BURRAGE, JAMES T. HARRIS, FRANK J. HERNDON, JAMES E. JORDAN, EDGAR RAY KILLEN, BILLY WAYNE POSEY, CECIL RAY PRICE, LAWRENCE ANDREW RAINEY, ALTON WAYNE ROBERTS, JERRY MC GREW SHARPE, JIMMY SNOWDEN, JIMMY LEE TOWNSEND, HERMAN TUCKER and RICHARD ANDREW WILLIS with violations of Title 18, U.S. Code, Section 241, with Conspiracy under Section 371, and violation of Title 18, U.S. Code, Section 242.

Arrest warrants were issued by U. S. District Court Clerk and all Subjects, with exception of HORACE DOYLE BARNETTE and JAMES EDWARD JORDAN, were arrested by U. S. Marshals on 1-16-65 and arraigned before U. S. Commissioner ESTHER CARTER 1-16-65, who released them under \$5,750 bond. HORACE DOYLE BARNETTE was arrested by Bureau Agents, Shreveport, La., 1-18-65, arraigned before U. S. Commissioner, Shreveport, La., and released on \$5,000 bond the same date.

TAMES EDWARD JORDAN voluntarily appeared, in response to a Berch Warrant issued 1-15-65 in the Southern District of Miss., at Atlanta, Ga., 1-18-65, arraigned before U. S. Commissioner, Atlanta, Ga., and released under \$5,000 bond.

On 1-24-65, U. S. District Judge HAROLD COX, Southern District of Miss., signed an order authorizing the Clerk of the U. S. District Court for Southern District of Miss. to transfer cause of JAMES EDWARD JORDAN from Southern District of Miss. to the Northern District of Ga. for plea of guilty or nolo contendere.

On 2-24-65, U. S. District Judge COX sustained Defendants' motions to dismiss indictments charging Defendants with violation of Title 18, U. S. Code, Section 241.

On 2-25-65, Judge COX sustained the first count of the indictment for Conspiracy under Title 18, U. S. Code, Section 371, to violate Title 18, U. S. Code, Section 242, against all Defendants and ruled that the second, third, and fourth counts of the indictment were valid against LAWRENCE RAINEY, CECIL PRICE, and RICHARD ANDREW WILLIS, but not against the other Defendants.

JAMES EDWARD JORDAN reiterated his statement that he was not physically present when Victims SCHWERNER, GOODMAN and CHANEY were shot on the gravel road near Highway 19 near Philadelphia, Miss.

58-4 947




TABLE OF CONTENTS

ı.	PRE	CLIMINARY PROSECUTIVE ACTION	PAGE 1 - 31
	Α.	Transcript of indictment returned by Federal Grand Jury January 15, 1965, charging violation of Title 18, U.S. Code, Sections 241 and 242	2 - 11
	В.	Arrest of Defendants named in Indictment	12
	C.	Motions filed before U. S. District Judge HAROLD J. COX	13 & 14
	D.	Pretrial hearings, January 25 through 27, 1965, at Meridian, Mississippi	15 & 16
	Ε.	Arraignment of Defendants before U. S. District Judge HAROLD COX, at Meridian	17
	F.	Order to Transfer the Cause as to JAMES EDWARD JORDAN from Southern District of Mississippi to Northern District of Georgia	18
	G.	Opinion of Judge COX sustaining Defendants' motions to dismiss indictment charging Defendants with violation of Title 18, U. S. Code, Section 241	19 - 22
	н.	Opinion of U. S. District Judge HAROLD COX sustaining in part and overruling in part Defendants' motions to dismiss indictments in violation of Title 18, U. S. Code. Section 242	23 - 31

· iv -

58-6

3:109

CO

		PAGE
II.	COMPENDIUM CONCERNING RICHARD ANDREW WILLIS, PATROLMAN, PHILADELPHIA, MISSISSIPPI, POLICE DEPARTMENT	32 - 38
÷	A. Information furnished by	35
	F. Interview with	36
	C. Background information from Veterans' Administration file of MICHARD ANDREW WILLIS	37 & 38
III.	SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION AND INTERVIEWS WITH JAMES EDWARD JORDAN	39 - 51
	A. Interview with JAMES EDWARD JORDAN who stated he did not desire counsel and desired to sign a consent to transfer his case for plea and sentence under Rule Twenty from the Southern District of Mississippi to the Northern District of Georgia	40
	B. Interviews with JAMES EDWARD JORDAN concerning	41 - 47
	C. Interview with JAMES EDWARD JORDAN, who	
0.		48
0 600	D. Eackground information concerning JORDAN	49 - 51
	58-7	as

(0

		PAGE
IV.	SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION CONCERNING HORACE DOYLE BARNETTE	52 _. - 71
	A. Interview with HORACE DOYLE BARNETTE	
	B. Interview with HORACE DOYLE BARNETTE	53 & 54
		55 & 56
	C. Interview with HORACE DOYLE BARNETTE	57
	D. Signed statement from Deputy U. S. Marshal too relates admissions of BARNETTE	
	E. Interview with Deputy U. S. Harshel, who furnished a statement	58 & 59
olpho bon	relating to the admissions which HORACE DOYLE BARNETTE made to him	60 & 6: 971

	PAGE
F. Interview with Deputy T.S. Marmal, who advised BARNETTE told him	
	62
G. Information received from who furnishes information HORACE DOYLE BARNETTE	63
H. Interview with HORACE DOYLE BARNETTE	
1.	64 & 65
	66 - 66 E
J. Background information and interview BARNETTE	67 - 71
INFORMATION CONCERNING JIMMY (NMN) ARLEDGE, DEFENDANT IN THE ABDUCTION AND MURDER OF THE THREE CIVIL RIGHTS WORKERS	72 - 76 ·
A. Background information concerning JIMMY ARLEDGE	73
B. Information regarding ARLEDGE	74 972
- vii - 58 -9	¥ '

		PAGE
	C. Interview with	75
	D. Interview with never and ARLED ARLED VIOLEN	76
VI.	INFORMATION CONCERNING BERNARD L. AKIN, DEFENDANT IN THE ABDUCTION AND MURDER OF THE THREE CIVIL RIGHTS WORKERS	77 - 83
	a rifle of any kind in the possession of B. L. AKIN or EARL AKIN	78 & 79
	B. Interview with	80 & 81
	C. Interview with	82
200	D. Contact with	
pho		83
VII.	PHOTOGRAPHS OF OLEN BURRAGE'S PLACE OF BUSINESS	84 - 8 २१५

		PAGE
VIII.	INVESTIGATION IN AN ATTEMPT TO IDENTIFY HERMAN TUCKER'S AUTOMOBILE	88 - 95
	A. Motor Vehicle Comptroller's Office, furnishes information regarding a 1955 Chevrolet 67C	89
	Motor Vehicle Comptroller's Office, furnishes information regarding 1955 Chevrolet purchased June 23, 1964 by HERMAN TUCKER 67°C	90
	furnishes information regarding his purchase of a 1955 Chevrolet and states to his knowledge HERMAN TUCKER never owned any 1955 Chevrolet 670,670	91
	D. furnishes information concerning a 1955 Chevrolet 67C, 67D	92
	Sales, furnishes information concerning 1955 Chevrolet b7C	93
	F. Interview with me, 670	94 - 95
IX.	INFORMATION CONCERNING 67C	96 - 100
	A. Interviews with who denies having knowledge of the civil rights workers murders and refuses	70
	to discuss the murders	97 - 100

58-11

		PAGE
х.	NEIGHBORHOOD INVESTIGATION AND PHOTOGRAPHS OF NESHOBA COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI, AREA, WHERE IHREE CIVIL RIGHTS WORKERS WERE ABDUCTED AND MURDERED	101 - 133
	A. Investigation conducted in vicinity of Warner's Grocery Store on Grand Avenue, Meridian, Mississippi	102
	B. Investigation conducted in area of Neshoba County Court House in Phila- delphia, Mississippi	103
	C. Interviews with persons neighboring in area where SCHWERNER, GOODMAN and CHANEY were abducted and murdered	104 - 109
	D. Aerial photographs of locations depicting the area where the abduction, murder and burial of SCHWERNER, GOODMAN and CHANEY occurred	110 - 133
XI.	APPENDIX	134
	A. Characterization of the White Knights of the Ku Klux Klan of Mississippi	134
XII.	INDEX	135

58-12 mi

- x*-

I. PRELIMARY PROSECUTIVE ACTION

58-13

- 1 -



On January 1, 1965, the Federal Grand Jury convened at Jackson, Mississippi, to hear testimony concerning the abduction and murder on June 21, 1964, in Neshoba County, Mississippi, of three civil rights workers, JAMES EARL CHANEY, MICHAEL HENRY SCHWERNER and ANDREW GOODMAN.

On January 15, 1965, a true bill was returned by the Federal Grand Jury charging the following persons with violations of Title 18, United States Code, 241, 242 and 371:

> CECIL RAY PRICE BERNARD L. AKIN JIMMY ARLEDGE HORACE DOYLE BARNETTE TRAVIS MARYN BARNETTE OLEN LOVELL BURRAGE JAMES T. HARRIS FRANK J. HERNDON JAMES E. JORDAN EDGAR RAY KILLEN BILLY WAYNE POSEY LAWRENCE ANDREW RAINEY ALTON WAYNE ROBERTS JERRY MC GREW SHARPE JIMMY SNOWDEN JIMMY LEE TOWNSEND HERMAN TUCKER RICHARD ANDREW WILLIS

The indictments returned by the Grand Jury are set forth as follows:

"IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI EASTERN DIVISION


"UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

: CRIMINAL NO. 5215

"CECIL RAY PRICE BERNARD L. AKIN JIMMY ARLEDGE 18 U.S.C. 241

58-1

K



HORACE DOYLE BARNETTE
TRAVIS MARYN BARNETTE
OLEN LOVELL BURRAGE
JAMES T. HARRIS
FRANK J. HERNDON
JAMES E. JORDAN
EDGAR RAY KILLEN
BILLY WAYNE POSEY
LAWRENCE ANDREW RAINEY
ALTON WAYNE ROBERTS
JERRY MC GREW SHARPE
JIMMY SNOWDEN
JIMMY LEE TOWNSEND
HERMAN TUCKER
RICHARD ANDREW WILLIS

(

"THE GRAND JURY CHARGES AND PRESENTS:

- "1. At all times herein mentioned Lawrence Andrew Rainey was sheriff of Neshoba County, Mississippi; Cecil Ray Price was deputy sheriff of Neshoba County, Mississippi; Richard Andrew Willis was a patrolman of the Police Department of Philadelphia, Mississippi; and each was acting by virtue of his official position and under color of the laws of the State of Mississippi.
- "2. Commencing on or about January 1, 1964, and continuing to on or about December 4, 1964, Cecil Ray Price, Bernard L. Akin, Jimmy Arledge, Horace Doyle Barnette, Travis Maryn Barnette, Olen Lovell Burrage, James T. Harris, Frank J. Herndon, James E. Jordan, Edgar Ray Killen, Billy Wayne Posey, Lawrence Andrew Rainey, Alton Wayne Roberts, Jerry McGrew Sharpe, Jimmy Snowden, Jimmy Lee Townsend, Herman Tucker, and Richard Andrew Willis, within the Southern District of Mississippi, conspired together, with each other and with other persons to the Grand Jury unknown, to injure, oppress, threaten and intimidate Michael

58-15



Henry Schwerner, James Earl Chaney and Andrew Goodman, each a citizen of the United States, in the free exercise and enjoyment of the right and privilege secured to them by the Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States not to be deprived of life or liberty without due process of law by persons acting under color of the laws of Mississippi.

"3. It was a part of the plan and purpose of the conspiracy that Cecil Ray Price, while having Michael Henry Schwerner, James Earl Chaney and Andrew Goodman in his custody in the Neshoba County Jail located in Philadelphia, Mississippi, would release them from custody at such time that he, Cecil Ray Price, Jimmy Arledge, Horace Doyle Barnette, Travis Maryn Barnette, Alton Wayne Roberts, Jimmy Snowden, James E. Jordan, Billy Wayne Posey, Jerry McGrew Sharpe and Jimmy Lee Townsend could and would intercept Michael Henry Schwerner, James Earl Chaney and Andrew Goodman upon their leaving the area of the Neshoba County Jail, and threaten, assault, shoot and kill them.

"In violation of Section 241 of Title 18 of the United States Code.

11
11
Special Attorney Department of Justic

"A TRUE BILL:

4

58-16 99



"IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI EASTERN DIVISION

"UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

(4

V.

CECIL RAY PRICE BERNARD L. AKIN JIMMY ARLEDGE HORACE DOYLE BARNETTE TRAVIS MARYN BARNETTE OLEN LOVELL BURRAGE JAMES T. HARRIS FRANK J. HERNDON JAMES E. JORDAN EDGAR RAY KILLEN BILLY WAYNE POSEY LAWRENCE ANDREW RAINEY ALTON WAYNE ROBERTS JIMMY MC GREW SHARPE JIMMY SNOWDEN JIMMY LEE TOWNSEND HERMAN TUCKER RICHARD ANDREW WILLIS

CRIMINAL NO. 5216

18 U.S.C. 242, 371

"THE GRAND JURY CHARGES AND PRESENTS:

FIRST COUNT


"1. At all times herein mentioned Lawrence Andrew Rainey was sheriff of Neshoba County, Mississippi; Cecil Ray Price was deputy sheriff of Neshoba County, Mississippi; Richard Andrew Willis was a patrolman of the Police Department of Philadelphia, Mississippi; and each was acting by virtue of his official position and under color of the laws of the State of Mississippi.

58-17



Commencing on or about January 1, 1964, and continuing to on or about December 4, 1964, Cecil Ray Price, Bernard L. Akin, Jimmy Arledge, Horace Doyle Barnette, Travis Maryn Barnette, Olen Lovell Burrage, James T. Harris, Frank J. Herndon, James E. Jordan, Edgar Ray Killen, Billy Wayne Posey, Lawrence Andrew Rainey, Alton Wayne Roberts, Jerry McGrew Sharpe, Jimmy Snowden, Jimmy Lee Townsend, Herman Tucker, and Richard Andrew Willis, within the Southern District of Mississippi, conspired together, with each other, and with other persons to the Grand Jury unknown, to commit an offense against the United States in violation of Section 242 of Title 18 of the United States Code, that is to say that they conspired to wilfully subject Michael Henry Schwerner, James Earl Chaney and Andrew Goodman, each an inhabitant of the State of Mississippi, to the deprivation of their right, privilege and immunity secured and protected by the Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States not to be summarily punished without due process of law by persons acting under color of the laws of the State of Mississippi.


"3. It was a part of the plan and purpose of the conspiracy that Cecil Ray Price, while having Michael Henry Schwerner, James Earl Chaney and Andrew Goodman in custody in the Neshoba County Jail located in Philadelphia, Mississippi, would release them from custody at such time that he, Cecil Ray Price, Jimmy Arledge, Horace Doyle Barnette, Travis Maryn Barnette, Alton Wayne Roberts, Jimmy Snowden, James E. Jordan, Billy Wayne Posey, Jerry McGrew Sharpe and Jimmy Lee Townsend could and would intercept Michael Henry Schwerner, James Earl Chaney and Andrew Goodman upon their leaving the area of the Neshoba County Jail, and threaten, assault, shoot and kill them.



Overt Acts

"Pursuant to the conspiracy and in furtherance of the objects thereof, the following defendants committed the following overt acts within the Southern District of Mississippi:

- On June 21, 1964, Cecil Ray Price detained Michael Henry Schwerner, James Earl Chaney and Andrew Goodman in the Neshoba County Jail located in Philadelphia, Mississippi, after sundown on that day until approximately 10:30 P.M.
- On June 21, 1964, Billy Wayne Posey drove an automobile south on Highway 19 from Philadelphia, Mississippi.
- "3. On June 21, 1964, Cecil Ray Price drove an automobile south on Highway 19 from Philadelphia, Mississippi.
- "4. On June 21, 1964, Cecil Ray Price removed Michael Henry Schwerner, James Earl Chaney and Andrew Goodman from an automobile stopped on Highway 492 between Highway 19 and Union, Mississippi, and placed them in an official automobile of the Neshoba County Sheriff's office.
- "5. On June 21, 1964, Cecil Ray Price transported Michael Henry Schwerner, James Earl Chaney and Andrew Goodman from a place on State Highway 492 between Highway 19 and Union, Mississippi, to a place on an unpaved road intersecting Highway 19 south of Philadelphia, Mississippi.
- On June 21, 1964, Billy Wayne Posey drove an automobile bearing the bodies of Michael Henry Schwerner, James Earl Chaney and Andrew Goodman from a place on the unpaved road intersecting Highway 19



south of Philadelphia, Mississippi, to the vicinity of the construction site of an earthen dam, located near Highway 21, approximately 5 miles southwest of Philadelphia, Mississippi.

"In violation of Section 371 of Title 18 of the United States Code.

SECOND COUNT

"On or about June 21, 1964, in Neshoba County, Mississippi, and within the Southern District of Mississippi, Lawrence Andrew Rainey, sheriff of Neshoba County, Mississippi, Cecil Ray Price, deputy sheriff of Neshoba County, Mississippi, Richard Andrew Willis, a patrolman of the Police Department of Philadelphia, Mississippi, Bernard L. Akin, Jimmy Arledge, Horace Doyle Barnette, Travis Maryn Barnette, Olen Lovell Burrage, James T. Harris, Frank J. Herndon, James E. Jordan, Edgar Ray Killen, Billy Wayne Posey, Alton Wayne Roberts, Jerry McGrew Sharpe, Jimmy Snowden, Jimmy Lee Townsend and Herman Tucker, while acting under color of the laws of the State of Mississippi, did wilfully assault, shoot and kill Michael Henry Schwerner, an inhabitant of the State of Mississippi, then and there in the custody of Cecil Ray Price, for the purpose and with the intent of punishing Michael Henry Schwerner summarily and without due process of law and for the purpose and with the intent of punishing Michael Henry Schwerner for conduct not so punishable under the laws of Mississippi, and did thereby wilfully deprive Michael Henry Schwerner of rights, privileges and immunities secured and protected by the Constitution and the laws of the United States, namely, the right not to be deprived of his life and liberty without due process of law, the right and privilege 95°




to be secure in his person while in the custody of the State of Mississippi and its agents and officers, the right and privilege to be immune from summary punishment without due process of law, and the right to be tried by due process of law for an alleged offense and, if found guilty, to be punished in accordance with the laws of the State of Mississippi.

6

"In violation of Section 242 of Title 18 of the United States Code.

THIRD COUNT

"On or about June 21, 1964, in Neshoba County, Mississippi, and within the Southern District of Mississippi, Lawrence Andrew Rainey, Sheriff of Neshoba County, Mississippi, Cecil Ray Price, deputy sheriff of Neshoba County, Mississippi, Richard Andrew Willis, a patrolman of the Police Department of Philadelphia, Mississippi, Bernard L. Akin, Jimmy Arledge, Horace Doyle Barnette, Travis Maryn Barnette, Olen Lovell Burrage, James T. Harris, Frank J. Herndon, James E. Jordan, Edgar Ray Killen, Billy Wayne Posey, Alton Wayne Roberts, Jerry McGrew Sharpe, Jimmy Snowden, Jimmy Lee Townsend and Herman Tucker, while acting under color of the laws of the State of Mississippi, did wilfully assault, shoot and kill James Earl Chaney, an inhabitant of the State of Mississippi, then and there in the custody of Cecil Ray Price, for the purpose and with the intent of punishing James Earl Chaney summarily and without due process of law and for the purpose and with the intent of punishing James Earl Chaney for conduct not So punishable under the laws of Mississippi, and did thereby wilfully deprive James Earl Chaney of rights, privileges and immunities secured and protected



by the Constitution and the laws of the United States, namely, the right not to be deprived of his life and liberty without due process of law, the right and privilege to be secure in his person while in the custody of the State of Mississippi and its agents and officers, the right and privilege to be immune from summary punishment without due process of law, and the right to be tried by due process of law for an alleged offense and, if found guilty, to be punished in accordance with the laws of the State of Mississippi.

"In wolation of Section 242 of Title 18 of the United States Code.

FOURTH COUNT

"On or about June 21, 1964, in Neshoba County, Mississippi, and within the Southern District of Mississippi, Lawrence Andrew Rainey, sheriff of Neshoba County, Mississippi, Cecil Ray Price, deputy sheriff of Neshoba County, Mississippi, Richard Andrew Willis, a patrolman of the Police Department of Philadelphia, Mississippi, Bernard L. Akin, Jimmy Arledge, Horace Doyle Barnette, Travis Maryn Barnette, Olen Lovell Burrage, James T. Harris, Frank J. Herndon, James E. Jordan, Edgar Ray Killen, Billy Wayne Posey, Alton Wayne Roberts, Jerry McGrew Sharpe, Jimmy Snowden, Jimmy Lee Townsend and Herman Tucker, while acting under color of the laws of the State of Mississippi did wilfully assault, shoot and kill Andrew Goodman, an inhabitant of the State of Mississippi, then and there in the custody of Cecil Ray Price, for the purpose and with the intent of punishing Andrew Goodman summarily 😽 and without due process of law and for the purpose and with the intent of punishing Andrew Goodman for conduct not so punishable under the laws of Mississippi, and did thereby wilfully deprive Andrew Goodman of rights,



privileges and immunities secured and protected by the Constitution and the laws of the United States, namely, the right not to be deprived of his life and liberty without due process of law, the right and privilege to be secure in his person while in the custody of the State of Mississippi and its agents and officers, the right and privilege to be immune from summary punishment without due process of law, and the right to be tried by due process of law for an alleged offense and, if found guilty, to be punished in accordance with the laws of the State of Mississippi.

"In violation of Section 242 of Title 18 of the United States $^{\text{C}}\text{ode}$.

United States Attorney

"Special Attorney
Department of Justice

"A TRUE BILL:

Foreman of the Grand Jury

(}

58-23

ARREST OF DEFENDANTS NAMED IN INDICTMENT

On January 15, 1965, warrants for the afrest of BERNARD L. AKIN, JIMMY ARLEDGE, HORACE DOYLE BARNETTE, TRAVIS MARYN BARNETTE, OLEN LOVELL BURRAGE, JAMES T. HARRIS, FRANK J. HERNDON, JAMES E. JORDAN, EDGAR RAY KILLEN, BILLY WAYNE POSHY, CECIL RAY PRICE, LAWRENCE ANDREW RAINEY, ALTON WAYNE ROBERTS, JERRY MC GREW SHARPE, JIMMY SNOWDEN, JIMMY LEE TOWNSEND, HERMAN TUCKER and RICHARD ANDREW WILLIS were issued by Clerk of the United States District Court, Jackson, Mississippi. All of the above-mentioned defendants, with the exception of HORACE DOYLE BARNETTE and JAMES EDWARD JORDAN, were arrested on January 16, 1965, by Deputy United States Marshals and arraigned before United States Commissioner ESTHER CARTER at Meridian, Mississippi, who released them on \$5,750 bond.

On January 18, 1965, HORACE DOYLE BARNETTE was arrested by FBI Agents at Shreveport, Louisiana, and arraigned before United States Commissioner at Shreveport, Louisiana, who released BARNETTE on \$5,000 bond.

On January 18, 1965, JAMES E. JORDAN voluntarily appeared at the Atlanta Office in response to Bench Warrant for Arrest issued on January 15, 1965, in the Southern District of Mississippi.

He was taken before U. S. Commissioner FRANK A.
HOLDEN, Northern District of Georgia, Atlanta, Georgia, by
Special Agent Commissioner HOLDEN set
bond in the amount of \$5,000, permitted JORDAN to sign his
own bond without surety, and JORDAN was released with instructions
that he should appear before the U. S. District Court for the
Southern District of Mississippi at Meridian, Mississippi, when
so ordered. br

12

MOTIONS FILED BEFORE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE HAROLD J. COX

The following motions were filed by attorneys for the Defendants in the murder of the three civil rights workers, SCHWERNER, CHANEY and GOODMAN, before United States District Judge HAROLD J. COX, at Jackson, Mississippi:

- Motion to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction, as indictment does not allege a crime cognizable by the Laws of the United States.
- 2. Motion for additional time in which to prepare motions.
- 3. Motions for severance.
- 4. Motion to suppress search warrants.
- 5. Motion for jury trial.
- 6. Motion to dismiss because evidence and information is not supported by facts and was obtained unlawfully, since Defendants were taken to Meridian Auxiliary Naval Station, held incommunicado without right to counsel, intensely interrogated, subjected to being exhibited as common criminals before newspaper reporters, hundreds of cameramen, etc., who exhibited them in a prejudicial manner to the public to the extent they have been publicly tried and convicted by newspaper and television media to the extent that their right to a fair and impartial trial has been abrogated without their consent.
- 7. Motion to dismiss on basis that the attorneys other than the regular United States Attorney and his Assistants were permitted to go before the Federal Grand Jury which returned indictments, that indictments were obtained by undue force and payment of money by Agents and Officers of the Federal Government, and if it had not been for evidence so

7. - Continued:

produced, the Defendants would not have been indicted.

- 8. Motion to require production of evidence.
- 9. Motion for bill of particulars.

PRE-TRIAL HEARINGS, JANUARY 25 to 27, 1965, AT MERIDIAN, MISSISSIPPI

In connection with pre-trial pleadings before <u>United</u>
States <u>District Judge HAROLD J. COX</u>, <u>Southern District of</u>
Mississippi, on January 25 to 27, 1965, at Meridian, <u>Mississippi</u>,
Departmental Attorney ROBERT OWEN advised that the following
motions were heard and argued:

- 1. Motion for severance. Miss
 - . A motion for severance for Horace Doyle Barnette was granted by U. S. District Judge HAROLD J. COX.
- 2. Motion to suppress search warrants.
 - In connection with this motion, testimony was presented by Special Agents of the FBI HENRY L. MC CONNELL and JOHN H. KRESEK, who testified concerning their service of a search warrant August 4, 1964, on OLEN BURRAGE, who is the owner of Burrage Dam.
 - Special Agent of the FBI JAY COCHRAN, JR. testified regarding entries by Bureau Agents and excavating equipment on BURRAGE's property August 4, 1964, to effect the exhumation of the bodies of the three civil rights workers, SCHWERNER, CHANEY and GOODMAN, who were buried in BURRAGE's dam. In connection with this motion, Defendants' attorneys directed specific questions to COCHRAN concerning reconnoitering conducted by Agents on BURRAGE's property prior to August 4, 1964, which was the date of serving the search warrant on BURRAGE.
 - Special Agent JOHN H. PROCTOR, JR. testified concerning the terrain searches conducted on BURRAGE's property prior to August 4, 1964, and concerning the survey of the access road to the BURRAGE property, which road was employed in bringing the earth-moving equipment to the damsite.

1. 44-1

Inspector JOSEPH A. SULLIVAN testified concerning radio transmissions from Bureau automobiles and his coordinating the service of the search warrant on OLEN BURRAGE with the entries of personnel and equipment to the OLEN BURRAGE farm.

3. Motion for dismissal of indictments against the Defendants on the basis they were prejudiced by undue publicity afforded in the preliminary hearing.

The Defendants called as their witnesses Navy personnel which included Commanding Officer, Captain JOE WILLIAMS; Executive Officer, Commander ROBERT WRIGHT; Legal Officer, Lieutenant RAY MADLIN; a Lieutenant DAVID WILLSEY; and a Seaman by the name of ROBERT S. FAITH, EM-3.

No rebuttal testimony was presented by the Government.

Judge COX reserved decisions on these motions until a later unspecified date.

58-28

DN 44-1

ARRAIGNMENTS OF DEFENDANTS BEFORE U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE HAROLD J. COX AT MERIDIAN, MISSISSIPPI

27

On January %, 1965, seventeen (17) Defendants, BERNARD L. AKIN; JIMM! (NMN) ARLEDGE; HORACE DOYLE BARNETTE; TRAVIS MARYN BARNETTE; OLEN LOVELL BURRAGE; JAMES T. HARRIS; FRANK J. HERNDON; EDGAR RAY KILLEN; BILLY WAYNE POSEY; CECIL RAY PRICE; LAWRENCE ANDREW RAINEY; ALTON WAYNE ROBERTS; JERRY MC GREW SHARFE; JIMM! SNOWDEN; JIMMY LEE TOWNSEND; HERMAN TUCKER; and RICHARD ANDREW WILLIS, appeared before U. S. District Judge HAROLD J. COX for a hearing, at which time the Defendants entered pleas of not guilty.

Judge COX ruled the Defendants could remain free on bonds of \$5,750 each. COX stated he would set the date for Defendants' trial after ruling on the motions presented to him by the defense attorneys.

The contents of the Order to Transfer the Cause as to JAMES E. JORDAN from the Southern District of Mississippi to the Northern District of Georgia, for plea of guilty or nolo contendere is set forth as follows:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

VS.

CRIMINAL NO. 5215

CECIL RAY FRICE, JAMES E. JORDAN, ET AL

CRDER

This day this cause came on for hearing on request of the defendant, James E. Jordan, for a waiver of trial and for transfer of the said cause as to James E. Jordan from the Southern District of Mississippi to the Northern District of Georgia for a plea of guilty or nolo contendere, and it appearing unto the Court that James E. Jordan was duly arrested in the Northern District of Georgia and has consented to disposition of said cause against him in the district in which he was arrested, and the United States Attorneys for each of said districts have approved said transfer, the Court is of the opinion and finds that said cause as to James E. Jordan should be transferred in accordance with Rule 20 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure.

It is, therefore, ordered that the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi be and she is hereby authorized to transmit by United States mail certified copies of the original papers in this proceedings as they pertain to James E. Jordan to the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia at Atlanta, Georgia.

ORDERED this the 26th day of January, 1965.

/s/ Harold Cox UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

On February 24, 1965, U. S. District Judge HAROLD COX, Southern District of Mississippi, Jackson, sustained Defendants motions to dismiss indictments charging Defendants with violation of Section 241, Title 18, U. S. Code.

The opinion rendered by Judge COX is set forth below:

"UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI EASTERN DIVISION

"CRIMINAL NUMBER 5215

"UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

(/

PLAINTIFF

V.

CECIL RAY PRICE, BERNARD L. AKIN, JIMMY
ARLEDGE, HORACE DOYLE BARNETTE, TRAVIS
MARYN BARNETTE, OLEN LOVELL BURRAGE, JAMES
T. HARRIS, FRANK J. HERNDON, EDGAR RAY KILLEN,
BILLY WAYNE POSEY, LAWRENCE ANDREW RAINEY,
ALTON WAYNE ROBERTS, JERRY MCGREW SHARPE,
JIMMY SNOWDEN, JIMMY LEE TOWNSEND, HERMAN
TUCKER, RICHARD ANDREW WILLIS

DEFENDANTS

"The named defendants move to dismiss this indictment for failure to state an offense against the laws of the United States. The indictment is predicated upon 18 U.S.C.A. S241. The first paragraph of the indictment states that Rainey was sheriff, Price was deputy sheriff and that Willis was a police officer, each acting at all times under "color of laws" of the State of Mississippi. The statute mentions nothing about "color of law" in the description of the crime embraced therein. The indictment charges that from January 1, 1964, to December 4, 1964, the named defendants in the Southern District of Mississippi conspired "to injure, oppress, threaten and intimidate Michael Henry Schwerner, James Earl Chaney and Andrew Goodman, each a citizen of the United States, in the free exercise and enjoyment of the right and privilege secured to them by the Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States

58-31

"not to be deprived of life or liberty without due process of law by persons acting under color of the laws of Mississippi.' The third paragraph of the indictment states that it was the plan and purpose of such conspiracy that said victims would be released by said officials from the county jail and that the individual defendants would intercept said released prisoners 'and threaten, assault, shoot and kill them.' This entire offense is said to have been committed in Neshoba County, State of Mississippi, in viclation of said \$241.

"This statute was designed and intended solely for the protection of federally created rights, not for any right merely guaranteed by the laws of the United States. This is not a statute which makes murder a federal crime under the facts and circumstances in this case. The right of every person not to be deprived of his life or liberty without due process of law is a right that existed prior to the Federal Constitution. It is a right which is protected by state laws and is merely guaranteed by the Constitution of the United States.

"In United States v. Cruikshank, 92 US 588, there was an indictment under S6 of the Enforcement Act of May 31, 1870, appearing as 16 Statute At Large 141, which is similar in many respects to \$241 here. The Court 'The third and eleventh counts are even more said: objectionable. They charge the intent to have been to deprive the citizens named, they being in Louisiana, "of their respective several lives and liberty of person without due process of law.". This is nothing else than alleging a conspiracy to falsely imprison or murder citizens of the United States, being within the territorial jurisdiction of the State of Louisiana. The rights of life and personal liberty are natural rights of man. secure these rights," says the Declaration of Independence, "governments are instituted among men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed." The very highest duty of the States, when they entered into the Union under the Constitution, was to protect all persons within their boundaries in the enjoyment of these "unalienable rights with which they were endowed by their Creator." Sovereignty, for this purpose, rests alone with the States.

"'is no more the duty or within the power of the United States to punish for a conspiracy to falsely imprison or murder within a State, than it would be to punish for false imprisonment or murder itself.

'The Fourteenth Amendment prohibits a State from depriving any person of life, liberty or property, without due process of law; but this adds nothing to the rights of one citizen as against another. It simply furnishes an additional guaranty against any encreachment by the States upon the fundamental rights which belong to every citizen as a member of society.'

"The indictment at bar is clearly void under the holding of <u>Williams v. United States</u>, (5CA) 179 F.2d 644, where the Court reversed a conviction under a very similar indictment in this circuit. That opinion makes abundantly clear the infirmities which are inherent in the indictment here. That decision was rendered on January 10, 1950.

"On April 23, 1951, in United States v. Williams, 341 US 70; 71 S.Ct. 581, the Supreme Court of the United States affirmed that decision. Among other things, the Supreme Court in that case said: 'All the evidence points to the same conclusion: that S241 applies only to interference with rights which arise from the relation of the victim and the federal government, and not to interference by state officers with rights which the federal government merely guarantees from abridgment by the states. ***Nor does the defined crime have as an ingredient that the conspiracy be under color of State law. Criminal statutes should be given the meaning their language most obviously invites. Their scope should not be extended to conduct not clearly within their terms. We therefore hold that including an allegation that the defendants acted under color of State law in an indictment under S241 dces not extend the protection of the section to rights which the Federal Constitution merely guarantees against abridgment by the States. Since under this

"'interpretation of the statute the indictment must fall, the judgment of the court below is affirmed.'

"The Congress has known of that decision now for approximately fifteen years and has acquiesced therein as a proper construction of S241.

"Here we have fourteen private individuals and three officials as defendants. The defendants are not charged with the violation of any right which was conferred upon either of these victims by a federal law. It is of no consequence, therefore, in law that some of the defendants were officials and that some of them were merely private citizens in allegedly committing the offense charged. The motion, like a demurer of old, admits for the purpose of this hearing all matters and things well pled in the indictment, but contends that even so, they are not charged with an offense against the United States. The authorities cited and found upon independent research support the soundness of this position. The indictment surely states a heinous crime against the State of Mississippi, but not a crime against the United States. This is a court of limited jurisdiction. The United States has no common law. Section 241 must be and is the sole and exclusive exponent of the offense set forth in this indictment. indictment simply does not charge either of these defendants with any offense against the laws of the United The motions to dismiss this indictment against the named defendants will, therefore, be sustained.

"There are several other motions presented by these defendants pursuant to a previous order of this Court, but action on such motions is unnecessary by reason of the disposition of the foregoing motions. Such other motions, therefore, may be withdrawn or will be overruled. A judgment accordingly may be presented.

"February 24, 1965

"/s/ Harold Cox
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE"

On February 25, 1965, U. S. District Judge HAROLD COX, Southern District of Mississippi, Jackson, sustained in part and overruled in part Defendants' motions to dismiss indictments in violation of Section 242. Judge COX' opinion is set forth as follows, along with his rulings regarding other motions presented by Defendants' attorneys:

"UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI EASTERN DIVISION

"CRIMINAL NUMBER 5216

"UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

PLAINTIFF

V.

CECIL RAY PRICE, ET AL

DEFENDANTS

"On January 18, 1965, the defendants were ordered to file all motions to be filed herein on or before January 25, 1965. Those motions have been filed and presented and will be presently decided.

- "1. The defendants move for sixty days additional time to prepare and file motions and supporting affidavits. That motion is without merit and will be overruled.
- "2. The defendants move to dismiss the indictment because of widespread adverse publicity and because they were photographed and pictured through the news media of the country as criminals. There was, indeed a great amount of sensational writing and numerous pictures of these defendants which appeared in many of the newspapers within the state and on television stations within the state and in other states. This unusual circumstance was taken into account by the Court in its supplemental charge to the grand jury who were expressly instructed to completely disregard all

99

JN 44-1

"news stories and all clamor from the outside, and to fairly and justly and honestly decide, each for himself, solely from the evidence and testimony presented to them in the grand jury room as to whether or not probable cause existed for indictment. The grand jury was admonished to vote their own honest and sincere and conscientious convictions on that question solely from the evidence and testimony before them under oath in the grand jury room. This was a very intelligent and a very fine grand jury, composed of a good cross section of citizens from the entire Southern Judicial District of Mississippi. be and is presumed that they did their duty in accordance with those instructions. That some others throughout the district may have formed an impression of some kind of guilt or innocence of these defendants does not show any prejudice in the mind or on the part of these grand jurors in performing their official duties here. That motion is without merit and will be overruled.

"3. The defendants (except Jordan) move to dismiss the indictment for failure to state an offense against, or a violation of any laws of the United States. The indictment is in bur counts. The first count is for the conspiracy under 18 U.S.C.A. S371 to violate 18 U.S.C.A. S242. Six overt acts in furtherance of such conspiracy are stated. The second, third and fourth counts charge all of the defendants with a violation of 18 U.S.C.A. S242. Lawrence Andrew Rainey was sheriff, Cecil Ray Price was deputy sheriff and Richard Andrew Willis was a police officer of the municipality at all material times. The other defendants were at all times private individuals and so acting. Surely, Section 242 was a valid law of the United States at such time. indictment states and the motion for its purpose admits that two or more of them conspired to violate this law of the United States on this occasion. It is immaterial to the conspiracy that these private individuals were not acting under color of law at such time so as to be vulnerable to \$242. They are not charged with having violated S242 but are charged with having conspired to violate



FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

	Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the followindicated, explain this deletion.	ng statements, where		
垃	Deleted under exemption(s)	with no segregable		
	Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject	et of your request.		
	Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only	•		
	Document(s) originating with the following government agency(ies), was/were forwarded to them for direct response			
	Page(s) referred for consultation to the following government agency(ies); as the information originated to be advised of availability upon return of the material to the FBI.			
	Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):			
	For your information:			
郊	The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages: 44-25706 Levis 1822 pg 38			

XXXXXX XXXXXX XXXXXX

58-48

FBI/DOJ

"said act. That is a crime against the United States under S371. The second, third and fourth counts charge that the official defendants willfully did things that deried and deprived their alleged victims of federally created rights. It is charged that the individual defendants like wise participated in the offenses charged in the second, third and fourth counts of the inditment, but it is not charged as an ultimate fact that they (or either of them) did anything as an official under color of any law, statute, ordinance, regulation, or custom as \$242 provides and as a violation thereof would require. The indictment states that three of the defendants were acting as officers in all that they did, but then does not state or indicate that any of the other individual defendants were officers in fact, or defacto in anything allegedly done by them 'under color of law.'

"It is accordingly the view of this Court that the first count of this indictment is valid against all defendants before the Court; that the second count is valid against Rainey, Price and Willis but not against the other defendants; that the third count is valid against Rainey, Price and Willis but not against the other defendants; and that the fourth count is valid against defendants Rainey, Price and Willis but not the other defendants upon the authorities presently cited.

"In Williams v. United States, (5CA) 179 F.2d 656, a private detective was indicted and convicted under S242 for applying third degree methods to a victim while investigating a theft from a private concern. A city policeman was present at the scene of the offense and lent color of law to the event. The detective held a card from the Director of Public Safety showing his appointment as a special police officer of the municipality. A section of the charter of the city provided that no person should be appointed a special police or

"detective, except under the direction of the Chief of Police for a specified time. There was substantial evidence that Williams impersonated an officer and acted under color of law. He was found guilty of the charge by a jury and his conviction was affirmed. The case was appealed to the Supreme Court of the United States where it was affirmed. The Supreme Court on appeal in Williams v. United States, 341 US 97; 71 S.Ct. 576, observed that the indictment charged that petitioner acting under color of law used force to make each victim confess to his guilt and implicate others and that the victims were denied the right to be tried by due process of law and if found guilty to be sentenced and punished in accordance with the laws of that state. The Court quoted from Count 2 of the indictment which charged violation of the Fourteenth Amendment rights as follows: 'The right and privilege not to be deprived of liberty without due process of law, the right and privilege to be secure in his person while in the custody of the State of Florida, the right and privilege not to be subjected to punishment without due process of law, the right and privilege to be immune while in the custody of persons acting under color of the laws of the State of Florida, from illegal assault and battery by any person exercising the authority of said state, and the right and privilege to be tried by due process of law and if found guilty to be sentenced and punished in accordance with the laws of the State of Florida.' The trial judge admonished the jury that the defendants were 'not here on trial for a violation of any law of the State of Florida for assault' nor 'for assault under any laws of the United States. The Supreme Court thus affirmed said conviction and approved such application and use of \$242 to those facts and circumstances. It is thus made crystal clear that the defendants in this case who were officers and were allegedly acting willfully under color of law as charged in the indictment are vulnerable to the offense charged in S242. To same effect is United States v. Jones, (5CA) 207 F.2d 785. Likewise in Koehler v. United States, (5CA) 189 F.2d 711, the Court affirmed a conviction of a constable and his co-worker who violated S242 by the violating of federal rights of a victim under color of law. In that case Ackerman was not a mere private

citizen but was adeputy or assistant on duty in all that was done in violation of S242. The motion to dismiss thus admits all things well pled in the indictment and results in the inescapable conclusion that the county and city officials who are defendants are legally charged with a violation of S242 in this indictment.

In Brown v. United States, (6CA) 204 F.2d 247, Brown was convicted of violating 18 U.S.C. S371. The appellant was charged with violation of S242 but such charges against him were dismissed by the Court because he was a private individual and not an officer acting under color of law as in Commonwealth of Virginia v. Rives, 100 US 313; United States v. Cruikshanks, 92 US 542; Screws v. United States, 325 US 110, 65 S.Ct. 1039. The Court said:

The district court dismissed the substantive counts which charged appellant with violating S242 but submitted the conspiracy count to the jury. This action was clearly correct. ****The fact that appellant was a private citizen and legally incapable of violating S242 does not render him immune from a charge of violating 18 U.S.C. S371 by engaging in an agreement with a law enforcement officer acting under color of state law to violate 18 U.S.C. S242. United States v. Holte, 236 US 140; 35 S.Ct. 271, 59 L.Ed. 504. As declared in Chadwick v. United States, 6 Cir., 141 F. 225, at page 237, opinion by Judge Lurton: "It is sufficient if any one of the parties to a conspiracy is legally capable of committing the offense, although the other parties may not have been." As was stated in United States v. Trierweiler, D.C., 52 F.Supp. 4, at page 7:

"It is immaterial that they themselves may not have had the capacity to violate the statute for they became liable criminally if they conspired to violate that statute and if one or more of their fellow conspirators had the

JN 44-1

(3

"capacity to commit the substantive offense."

<u>Barron v. United States</u>, 1 Cir., 5 F.2d 799,

801, 802; <u>Haggerty v. United States</u>, 7 Cir.,

5 F.2d 224, 225; <u>Kaufman v. United States</u>,

2 Cir., 212 F. 613, 618.

In accord with this conclusion are <u>Koehler v. United</u>
<u>States</u>, 5 Cir., 189 F.2d 711; <u>Apodaca v. United States</u>,
10 Cir., 188 F.2d 932.

"As the Court said in <u>United States v. Rabinowich</u>, 238 US 78; 35 S.Ct. 682: 'That a conspiracy to commit a crime is a different offense from the crime that is the object of the conspiracy. <u>Callan v. Wilson</u>, 127 US 540; 8 S.Ct. 1301.' The Court further said: 'A person may be guilty of conspiring, although incapable of committing the objective offense.'

"It is accordingly the view of the Court that the first count of this indictment is valid against all defendants; that as to the remaining counts in the indictment, all of them are valid against the official defendants, but invalid against the private individual defendants therein. The motion to dismiss the indictment will thus be sustained in part and overruled in part as indicated.

Burrage moves and Price, Akin, Killen, Posey, Rainey, Roberts, Sharpe, Townsend, Tucker and Willis join him in requesting under Criminal Rule 41(e) that the search under the warrant be adjudged unlawful, and that the property taken be adjudged to have been illegally seized without warrant, or that the warrant be adjudged insufficient on its face, or that the property seized is not described in the warrant, or that there was no probable cause for the issuance of the warrant or that the warrant was illegally executed. Evidence was adduced before the Court on this motion. contentions and the evidence somewhat overran the bounds of the motion. Some nebulous testimony before the Court was to the effect that government agents with permission had been on open land searching for some clue to the whereabouts of three human bodies. No search was made of any improvements on the 'Jolly lands' now belonging to Burrage. No case of an unreasonable search or seizure is shown. Much 100

"of the description in the affidavit and warrant is void but the description of the premises known as the 'Old Jolly Farm' under control of Olen Burrage in the north half of Neshoba County in the Southern District of Mississippi is sufficient for all purposes. The objects of the search were sufficiently stated. The affidavit of an FBI agent sufficiently set forth grounds for probable cause which were effectually adjudicated to exist by the issuance of the subsequent warrant. Hester v. United States, 265 U.S. 57; 44 S.Ct. 445. Monnette v. United States, (5CA) 200 T.11 847. The search warrant described the premises to be searched as the 'Old Jolly Farm' under control of Oler Burrage. This place consisted of two bundred fifty acres. It had formerly been owned by Jolly and was the only place of such kind in the county under control of Burrage. The description of the premises to be searched was sufficiently clear to enable an officer with reasonable effort to ascertain and identify the place to be searched. That is the rule and the test. The warrant clearly stated that the search was for the bodies of the three identified victims. This warrant was served at 8:12 A.M., August 4, 1964, according to FBI agents' testimony supported by memoranda made at the same moment as an official record. The Court believes from the evidence that the government agents acted with full authority under this warrant in doing all that was done on said date toward searching for and discovering these bodies. This evidence was not illegally obtained and the process therefor was valid. This motion to suppress is without merit and will be overruled.

"5. The defendants move for a production of evidence under Criminal Rule 16. The two motions seek material to which they are not entitled under this rule. The motion does not bring the request within the ambit of the rule. The motions will be overruled.

"6. The defendants seek a bill of particulars under Criminal Rule 7(f). An indictment is required by Criminal Rule 7(c) to contain 'a plain, concise and definite

"written statement of the essential facts constituting the offense charged.' It is not necessary to allege with technical precision all of the elements essential to the commission of the offense which is the object of the conspiracy. In Wong Tai v. United States, 47 S.Ct. 300, it is said: 'In charging such a conspiracy certainty, to a common intent, sufficient to identify the offense which the defendants conspired to commit, it is all that is necessary. There the defendants sought as here to discover the government's evidence to which the Court said that the defendants were not entitled. A defendant in a criminal case may not resort to a motion for a bill of particulars as a discovery device. In Man Tiew v. United States, 321 F.2d 664, the Court in this circuit said that it is not the office of a bill of particulars to ascertain what offense is charged. Johnson v. United States, 207 F.2d 314, the Court in this circuit said: 'The government should not be compelled by a bill of particulars to give a detailed disclosure of its evidence, as would have been required by compliance with the motion. The indictment here contains a sufficiently definite written statement of the offense to enable the defendants to properly and fairly present their defense thereto. It is likewise sufficiently definite and clear to forestall any possibility of double jeopardy. The motion is without merit and will be overruled.

"7. Numerous motions are presented by the defendants for severance under Criminal Rule 14. Criminal Rule 8 authorizes the joinder of offenses and of defendants under stated circumstances. Criminal Rule 14 vests the Court with a sound judicial discretion to grant such relief as may be necessary in any case to assure a fair trial for each defendant. Opper v. United States, 75 S.Ct. 158. Nothing has been presented to the Court to convince it that a severance of any other defendants, other than Horace Doyle Barnette who has already been severed from this proceeding, is necessary in this case. But under the circumstances it would appear to be fair and just that all of the defendants be first tried under the first count of this indictment in

58 -42 1706

TN 44-1

Case No. 5216, and that the trial of the official defendants under Count 2, Count 3 and Count 4 should be next tried. The mation will thus be sustained in part and overruled in part as indicated.

"8. The defendants by separate motions request a trial by jury. Those motions will be sustained.

"A judgment disposing of said motions as indicated may be presented for entry.

"February 25, 1965

"/s/ Hareld Cox
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE"

II. COMPENDIUM CONCERNING RICHARD ANDREW WILLIS, PATROLMAN, PHILADELPHIA, MISSISSIPPI, POLICE DEPARTMENT

RICHARD ANDREW WILLIS

RICHARD ANDREW WILLIS, police officer on the Philadelphia, Mississippi, Police Department.

670

Since his tenure on the Philadelphia, Mississippi, Police Department, WILLIS has been the subject of numerous Civil Rights complaints, one complaint which resulted in an indictment by a Federal Grand Jury which convened in September and October, 1964, at Biloxi, Mississippi.

As a result of this indictment, WILLIS was arrested on October 3, 1964, for violation of Title 18, Sections 242 and 371, United States Code, and was taken before the United States Commissioner at Meridian, Mississippi, who released him on \$1,000 bond. WILLIS advised at the time of his arrest that he did not wish to make any statement.



FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

3	Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.
Þ	Deleted under exemption(s)
	Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.
	Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.
	Document(s) originating with the following government agency(ies), was/were forwarded to them for direct response to you.
	Page(s) referred for consultation to the following government agency(ies); as the information originated with them. You will be advised of availability upon return of the material to the FBI.
	Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):
	For your information:
\$	The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages: 44-25706 Serial 1822 pages 34-36

XXXXXX XXXXXX XXXXXX 58-46

1010


FBI/DOJ

1 JN 44-1 wr

The following investigation was conducted by SA at Jackson, Mississippi.bc

On July 29, 1964, Veterans Administration Center, Route 51, made available the file on RICHARD ANDREW WILLIS,

The file contained the following information:



III. SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION AND INTERVIEWS WITH JAMES EDWARD JORDAN

58-49 1012

FD-302 (Rev. 1-25-80)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

1

Date January 22, 1965

JAMES E. JORDAN conferred in the Atlanta Office of the FBI with Departmental Attorney D. RCBERT CWENS, Chief, Southwestern Region, Civil Rights Division, U. S. Department of Justice, U. S. Attorney CHARLES L. GCODSON, Northern District of Georgia, and ALLEN L. CHANCEY, Assistant U. S. Attorney, Northern District of Georgia. JORDAN was advised at the outset by Mr. OWENS of his right to counsel, that he was not required to make a statement, and that any statement he made may be used against him. No threats or promises were issued to Mr. JORDAN. Mr. OWENS explained that the purpose of the conference was to determine whether or not JORDAN desired to sign a consent to plead guilty or nolo contendere and transfer his case under Rule 20, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, from the Southern District of Mississippi to the Northern District of Georgia and, if so, whether or not he desired counsel prior to making this decision. The provisions of Rule 20 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure were fully explained to JORDAN by Mr. OWENS.

Mr. JORDAN stated that he did not desire counsel and that he desired to sign a consent to transfer his case for plea and sentence under Rule 20 from the Southern District of Mississippi to the Northern District of Georgia. Mr. JORDAN then Rigned the Consent to Transfer Case for Plea and Sentace.

138


	1/22/65	Atlanta, Georgi	.a.	File # Atlanta	44-1633	101
<i>y</i> n			100	Jackson	44-1	
bv	SA's	an	id b1C	Date dictated	1/22/65	
-						

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date December 24, 1964

JAMES E. JORDAN was interviewed

and after being advised that he did not have to make any statement, that any statement he did make could be used against him in a court of law, that he had the right to an attorney before making any statements or that he could talk to anyone else, and that if he could not afford the services of an attorney the judge would appoint one for him, furnished the following information:



This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; It and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.



FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

	Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.
4	Deleted under exemption(s) <u>67C, 670</u> with no segregable material available for release to you.
	Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.
	Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.
	Document(s) originating with the following government agency(ies), was/were forwarded to them for direct response to you.
	Page(s) referred for consultation to the following government agency(ies);
	Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):
	For your information:
Ø	The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages: 44-25706 Serial 1822 page(5) 42

58 - 52 FBI/DOJ

XXXXXX XXXXXX FD-302 (Rev. 1-21-40)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Deta December 30, 1964

1

JAMES EDWARD JORDAN,
was recontacted and interviewed by SAs
and
JORDAN had
previously been interviewed and was aware of the
identities of SAs

JORDAN was again advised that he did not have to make any statements and that any statements he made could be used against him in a court of law and that he had a right to consult with an attorney before making any statement. No promises of immunity from arrest or prosecution were made to JORDAN. SA informed JORDAN of the above information. JORDAN advised that he was not presently represented by counsel and did not desire to consult with counseleprioration.

JORDAN's entire signed statement of November 5, 195%, was gone over with him at which time he again stated that information furnished by him in the signed statement was accurate and truthful to the best of his knowledge.




JORDAN again stated that he was not physically present when the victims SCHWERNER, GOODMAN, and CHANEY were shot on the gravel road off Highway 19, claiming that he was on foot on Highway 19 at the time of the shootings.

12/28/64		JN 44-1 File # AT 44-1633	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
SAS	and bic	20 /00 /6h	1010.
by	. 4.	43° at a dictored 12/29/64	

JN 44-1 AT 44-1633 2

He estimated the distance to be possibly 200 yards or less, again claiming that he was within hearing distance of the shootings as well as being able to hear sounds of the car motors.



objec 67D

1017

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date January 15, 1965

1 JAMES E. JORDAN was interviewed at which time he was made aware of the identity of the interviewing agent. JORDAN was advised that he did not have to furnish any information and any information he did furnish could be used against him in a court of law. No threats or promises were made to JORDAN to get him to furnish any information and he was advised that he had a right to an attorney of his own choice before making any statement. Jackson 44-1 1-9-65 1-14-65 Special Agent property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBi. It is the H and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.



FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

	Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.
ウ	Deleted under exemption(s) <u>b7C</u> , <u>b7D</u> with no segregable material available for release to you.
	Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.
	Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.
	Document(s) originating with the following government agency(ies), was/were forwarded to them for direct response to you.
	Page(s) referred for consultation to the following government agency(ies); as the information originated with them. You will be advised of availability upon return of the material to the FBI. Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):
	Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s).
	For your information:
文	The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages: 44-25706 Serial 1822 page(5) 46

XXXXXX XXXXXX XXXXXX 58-56


FBI/DGJ

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Dote January 15, 1965

()

JAMES EDWARD JORDAN was contacted in the Jury Room,
U.S. Post Office Building, Jackson, Mississippi. He was
advised by Special Agent of the identity of Inspector
and Special Agent both of
the FBI. JORDAN was advised that he did not have to furnish
any information and any information he did furnish could be
used against him in a court of law. No threats or promises
were made to JORDAN to get him to furnish any information
and he was advised that he had a right to an attorney of his
own choice before making any statement.



On 1-11-65	_at_	Jackson, Mississippi	File#.	Jacks	son 44-1	
Inspector SAs		and	be			1020
by kg		recommendations are energy tone of the FRI			1-15-65	

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.



FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

4	Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.
\ \	Deleted under exemption(s) <u>b7C</u> , b7D with no segregable material available for release to you.
	Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.
	Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.
	Document(s) originating with the following government agency(ies), was/were forwarded to them for direct response to you.
	Page(s) referred for consultation to the following government agency(ies);
	Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):
	For your information:
×	The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages: 44-25706 Serial 1822 page(5) 48-5/

XXXXXX XXXXXX XXXXXX IV. SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION CONCERNING HORACE DOYLE BARNETTE

()

10²² 58-59 FD-302 (Rev. 1-25-80)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION


ICATION

٦	
4	_
	•

12/15/64 Date _____

HORACE DOYLE BARNETTE was contacted at 11:30 AM,

was advised by Special Agent whom he did not know, and was advised by Special Agent that he did not have to talk to FBI Agents, that anything he did say could be used against him in a court of law, and that he could see his attorney before making any statement.



On 12/10/64 File # NO 44-2227 10/3

SA's and bx 53

Date dictated 12/10/64

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to rour agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.



FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

	Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.
ф	Deleted under exemption(s) 67C, h2D with no segregable material available for release to you.
	Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.
	Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.
	Document(s) originating with the following government agency(ies), was/were forwarded to them for direct response to you.
	Page(s) referred for consultation to the following government agency(ies); as the information originated with them. You will be advised of availability upon return of the material to the FBI.
	Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):
	For your information:
Ř	The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages: 44-25706 Serial 1822 page(5) 54

XXXXXX XXXXXX XXXXXX 58-61


FBI/DOJ

FERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

	1/15/	/85	-
Date	. 2/ 10/	-	_

1

HORACE DOYLE BARNETTE, furnished the following information after advising he knows the identity of interviewing Agent and being advised he does not have to make a statement, my statement he does make can be used against him in a court of law, and he can see a lawyer before making any statement:



On	1/6/65	_ at	File #	NO 44-2227	<u> 58-6</u>
bv .	SA	bic	√cjo 55Date di	ctoted 1/6/6	35
- , .					1075

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

ыс NO 44-2227 сјо 670,670

Cy

56

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date 1-12-65

1

670

HORACE DOYLE BARNETTE was interviewed in a witness room at the Federal Building, Jackson, Mississippi.

On 1-11-65	at Jackson, Mississippi	File #
SA SA	/pag 67C	Date dictated 1-12-65

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned t your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

57

5g-64

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

_	FEDERAL BOREAU OF HAAR	BIIOAIIOIA
1		Date 1-14-65
	Mississippi, voluntarily	Marshal, Southern Turnished the following who identified himself ederal Bureau of Investiga-
	"Jan Jac	uary 11, 1965 kson, Mississippi
	of Mississippi, Jackson. M	S Marshal, Southern iss. make the following who has ecial Agent of the FEI. bx
2:30 Pi	"While on duty at Jackson, M on Jan. 11, 1965, I enga TE in conversation.	Mississippi, at about aged HORACE DOYLE
		TN hh-3
1-11-65	ot Jackson, Mississippi	File#JN 44-1 File#1-14-65

TN 44-1

"/s/

"Witness:
/s/
FBI, Jackson, Miss."

"/s/

"Jockson, Miss."

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

(1

_	PEDERAL BUREAU OF HAVE	BIORION
1		Date 1-14-65
signed state	Mississippi, voluntarily ement to as a Special Agent of the	U. S. Marshal, Southern Turnished the Following who identified himself Federal Bureau of Investi-
	"Janu	ary 11, 1965 son, Miss.
volunta	I, Dep f Miss., Jackson, Miss., m ry statement to mself to me as a Special A	who has identi-
2:30 PM	while on duty at Jackson, on Jan. 11, 1965.	Mississippi, at about PARNETTE made
		3 6
on1-11-65	ot Jackson, Mississippi	JN 44-1

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency:

Wand its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

Witness:

/s/
Special Agent, FBI b7C
Jackson, Miss."

(*)

58 - 68

The second second

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date 1-22-65
Deputy U. S. Marshal, Shreveport, ished the following information: 670
d in conversation.
172 120
67C, 67D
R, 670
· / - · ·

On	1-18-65	at Shreveport, Louisia	na File # JN 44-1	_
	SA	b10/bab/kg	Date dictated	
-0			the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to	

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your tracky.



FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

	Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.
5 20	Deleted under exemption(s)
	Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.
	Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.
	Document(s) originating with the following government agency(ies), was/were forwarded to them for direct response to you.
	Page(s) referred for consultation to the following government agency(ies);
	Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):
	For your information:
郊	The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages: 44-25706 Serial 1822 page(5) 103

XXXXXX XXXXXX XXXXXX

58-70

FRI/DOJ

PERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

	Date
HORACE DOYLE BARNETTE.	was
the following information after being have to make a statement, anything he used against him in a court of law, a attorney before saying anything:	did say could be
/2/85 et	File #
A Vent 67C	Date dictated 2/3/65



FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

(

6	Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.
郊	Deleted under exemption(s) b7C, b7D with no segregable material available for release to you.
	Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.
	Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.
	Document(s) originating with the following government agency(ies), was/were forwarded to them for direct response to you. Page(s) referred for consultation to the following government agency(ies);
	as the information originated with them. You will be advised of availability upon return of the material to the FBI. Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):
	For your information:
Þ	The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages: 44-25706 Serial 1822 page(5) 65-68

XXXXXX XXXXXX XXXXXX

58-72 1035

X		
information: furni	shed the follow	wing
Intothactor:		
Ford, believed to have been about a 1957 with Louisiana tags. It could not be rec	alled as to	
Ford, believed to have been about a 1957 with Louisiana tags. It could not be rec specifically which part of the car was wh but it was definitely recalled the car wa	or 1958 model, alled as to ite and which v	wa.s
Ford, believed to have been about a 1957 with Louisiana tags. It could not be rec specifically which part of the car was wh	or 1958 model, alled as to ite and which v	wa.s
Ford, believed to have been about a 1957 with Louisiana tags. It could not be rec specifically which part of the car was wh but it was definitely recalled the car wa	or 1958 model, alled as to ite and which v	wa s
Ford, believed to have been about a 1957 with Louisiana tags. It could not be rec specifically which part of the car was wh but it was definitely recalled the car wa	or 1958 model, alled as to ite and which v	wa.s
Ford, believed to have been about a 1957 with Louisiana tags. It could not be rec specifically which part of the car was wh but it was definitely recalled the car wa	or 1958 model, alled as to ite and which vis blue and white	wa.s
Ford, believed to have been about a 1957 with Louisiana tags. It could not be rec specifically which part of the car was wh but it was definitely recalled the car wa	or 1958 model, alled as to ite and which was blue and white	wa.s
Ford, believed to have been about a 1957 with Louisiana tags. It could not be rec specifically which part of the car was wh but it was definitely recalled the car wa	or 1958 model, alled as to ite and which vis blue and white	wa.s
Ford, believed to have been about a 1957 with Louisiana tags. It could not be rec specifically which part of the car was wh but it was definitely recalled the car wa	or 1958 model, alled as to ite and which was blue and white	wa.s
Ford, believed to have been about a 1957 with Louisiana tags. It could not be rec specifically which part of the car was wh but it was definitely recalled the car wa	or 1958 model, alled as to ite and which was blue and white	wa.s
Ford, believed to have been about a 1957 with Louisiana tags. It could not be rec specifically which part of the car was wh but it was definitely recalled the car wa	or 1958 model, alled as to ite and which was blue and white by the by th	wa.s
Ford, believed to have been about a 1957 with Louisiana tags. It could not be rec specifically which part of the car was wh but it was definitely recalled the car wa	or 1958 model, alled as to ite and which was blue and white by	wa.s
Ford, believed to have been about a 1957 with Louisiana tags. It could not be rec specifically which part of the car was wh but it was definitely recalled the car wa The car body was of a sedan type.	or 1958 model, alled as to ite and which was blue and white by	wa.s
Ford, believed to have been about a 1957 with Louisiana tags. It could not be rec specifically which part of the car was wh but it was definitely recalled the car wa The car body was of a sedan type.	or 1958 model, alled as to ite and which was blue and white by	wa.s



FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

2	Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.
戉	Deleted under exemption(s) b7C, b7D with no segregable material available for release to you.
	Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.
	Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.
	Document(s) originating with the following government agency(ies), was/were forwarded to them for direct response to you.
	Page(s) referred for consultation to the following government agency(ies); as the information originated with them. You will be advised of availability upon return of the material to the FBI.
	Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):
	For your information:
Ø	The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages: 44-25706 Serial 1822 page(5) 70+7/

XXXXXX XXXXXX XXXXXX 58-74

FBI/DOJ

V. INFORMATION CONCERNING JIMMY (NMN) ARLEDGE,
DEFENDANT IN THE ABDUCTION AND MURDER OF
THE THREE CIVIL RIGHTS VICTIMS.

72

JN 157-1369 JN 157-343 JN 44-1 amh:wr

The following investigation was conducted by SA

On November 13, 1964, the files of the County Clerk's Office were reviewed and on Page 215, Volume 83 of the Marriage Records for Lauderdale County, it was determined that JIMMY ARLEDGE and MARY JANE JORDAN were issued a marriage license and were married August 10, 1961, in Lauderdale County, Mississippi: JIMMY ARLEDGE was described as a white male, born March 1, 1937, at Union, Mississippi. His residence address was shown as Route 2, Little Rock, Mississippi. His occupation was given as Door Department, Acme Building Supply Company; his father and mother were identified as MACK and LESLIE ARLEDGE.

The 1964 edition of the Meridian, Mississippi, City Directory, reflects that JIMMY ARLEDGE, wife MARY JANE ARLEDGE, residence 2718 Valley Street, Meridian, Mississippi, was employed as a driver for the Magnolia Steel Company.

The 1962 Meridian, Mississippi City Directory lists JIMMY ARLEDGE, identifying him as a stock clerk for the Meridian Cigar and Tobacco Company.



On November 17, 1964, and again on November 18, 1964, spot checks were conducted at the residence of JIMMY ARLEDGE and at the Magnolia Steel Company, his place of employment. The automobile belonging to ARLEDGE, a 1962 white Corvair, two-door sedan, bearing 1965 Mississippi license 51B687, was observed on both days at the Magnolia Steel Company. On the evening of November 18, 1964, this car was also observed in front of the residence at 2718 Valley Street, Meridian, Mississippi.



FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

2	Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.
中	Deleted under exemption(s) <u>b2C</u> , <u>b7D</u> with no segregable material available for release to you.
	Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.
	Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.
	Document(s) originating with the following government agency(ies), was/were forwarded to them for direct response to you.
	Page(s) referred for consultation to the following government agency(ies); as the information originated with them. You will be advised of availability upon return of the material to the FBI.
	Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):
	For your information:
卒	The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages: 44-25706 Serial 1822 page(5) 74+75

THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY

XXXXXX XXXXXX XXXXXX

58-77 1040

FD-302 (Rev. 10-11-3)

with a revolver

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date Desember 15, 1964

the following information:

has never seen ARLETTE

all birc

On 19/15/64 at_	Mont trong Migr	sissippi	_File#To Lik	-1
ьу	brc .	76	Doise dictated	12/15/6!

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI, it is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency it as the last and to a violation of our agency.

58-78 1041

VI. INFORMATION CONCERNING BERNARD L. AKIN, DEFENDANT IN THE ABDUCTION AND MURDER OF THE THREE CIVIL RIGHTS WORKERS

77

58-79 1042



1 -	Date
in the possession of B	has never observed a rifle of any kind
	all me
/16/64 at	78 File # NO 44-2227

This document contains neither recommendations not conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

2 NO 44-2227 JN 44-1 dmm (6

b7C, 670

79

58-81,044

PEDEKAL BUKEAU OF INVE	SIGATION			
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Date	Jai	nuary 5, 1	96 <u>\$</u>
1				
was intervi	_	el d	ian. Missi	
He was advised prior to the interview	by SA	10	Lan. Missi	5511
that he did not have to furnish any i	Lnf ormatic	n	to the FBI	and
that any information furnished by him him in a court of law. He was advise	n could be ed that be	e u:	sed agains: ad a right	to
counsel before furnishing any informa	ation and	th	at no thre	ats,
promises or duress would be made to i statement whatsoever.	induce him	n t	make any	
statement whatsbever.			20.11	
				~
•			157-1104	o ^y
	-1 4		157-343 44-1	٠.'
1/2/65 . Maridian Miceleciani	File#	<u> </u>	-7-7 <u>-</u>	
1/2/65 at Meridian, Mississippi				
1/2/65 of Meridian, Mississippi SA amh	Date_dicta	ted	1/2/64	



FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

3	Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.
K	Deleted under exemption(s) <u>b2C</u> , <u>b2D</u> with no segregable material available for release to you.
	Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.
	Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.
	Document(s) originating with the following government agency(ies), was/were forwarded to them for direct response to you.
	Page(s) referred for consultation to the following government agency(ies); as the information originated with them. You will be advised of availability upon return of the material to the FBI. Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):
	For your information:
郊	The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages: 44-25706 Serial 1822 page(5) 81-83

XXXXXX XXXXXX XXXXXX 58-83

PBI/DOJ

VII. INFORMATION CONCERNING OLEN LOVELL BURRAGE,
A DEFENDANT IN THE ABDUCTION AND MURDER
OF THE THREE CIVIL RIGHTS WORKERS

 C_{\odot}

84

1

Date_	January	9.	19	65
Date_				

Photographs were taken of OLEN BURRAGE's place of business directly across the road from his residence at Route 9, Philadelphia, Mississippi.

Two black and white photographs were taken by SA with a Speed Graphic Camera. 676


Two black and white photographs were taken by SA with a Polaroid camera.

SA and b7c amh: wr Date dictated 1-9-65

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency:



IN BURRAGE'S garage, looking south





OLEH BURNOS's EPROCO, Looking morth

VIII. INVESTIGATION CONDUCTED IN AN ATTEMPT TO IDENTIFY HERMAN TUCKER'S AUTOMOBILE

Date January 13, 1965

1

Vehicle Comptroller's Office, State Office Building, Jackson, Mississippi, made records available which reflected the following information:

A receipt for Passenger Road and Bridge Privilege
Tax, State of Mississippi, County of Neshoba, reflected that 1965
Mississippi Tag Number (Neshoba County) was issued to
Neshoba, Mississippi, on October 26,
1964, at Philadelphia, Mississippi, on a 1955 Chevrolet, four
door, eight cylinder, Bel Air, Serial Number VC55J056553. The
receipt indicated that the car was acquired from Steel Auto
Sale, Philadelphia, Mississippi, on October 20, 1964. The
Dealer's Report for October, 1964, from Steel Auto Sales, West
Beacon Street, Philadelphia, Mississippi, indicated that a
1955 Chevrolet, Serial Number VC55J056553, was received from
Philadelphia, Mississippi. The vehicle was sold
to Philadelphia, Mississippi, in October, 1964.

albac

on 1-11-65 of Jackson, Mississippi File / Jackson 44-1

by SA Date dictated 1-11-65 1052

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and it loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

January 13, 1965

1

Tag Division, Motor Vehicle Comptrollers Office, State Office Building, Jackson, Mississippi, made records available which reflected the following information:

The Tax Collector's Report of Motor Vehicles Registered and Ad Valorem Taxes Collected in Neshoba County, Mississippi, for the month of June, 1964, reflected that 1964 Mississippi Tag 50-4802 (Neshoba County), was purchased on June 23, 1964, by HERMAN TUCKER, Philadelphia, for a 1955 Chevrolet, motor or serial number C55J180514.

The receipt for Passenger Road and Bridge Privilege Tax, State of Mississippi, County of Neshoba, reflected that 1964 tag number 50-4802 (Neshoba County), was issued to HERMAN TUCKER, Philadelphia, Mississippi, on a 1955 Chevrolet, two-door sedan, Motor Number C55J180514, on June 23, 1964. The receipt indicated that the vehicle was acquired from Jordan Auto, Philadelphia, June 23, 1964. The total tax paid was \$8.09. No record of a 1963 tag was noted on the receipt.

Dealer's Sales Records for Jordan Auto Sales, Philadelphia, Mississippi, Permit Number 757, did not reflect the sale of a 1955 Chevrolet, as described above, to HERMAN TUCKER.

No other record could be located identifiable with the above vehicle to identify the owner prior to Jordan No 1963 registration could be located by Auto Sales. Serial Number C55J180514.

Jackson, Mississippi Jackson 44-1

locument contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to gency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

(

<u>1</u>	1-15-65 Date
four dour Belair, serial	owned a 1955 Chevrolet, white over green number VC55J056553, Mississippi
knowledge TUCKER had never other 1955 Chevrolet.	knew HERMAN TUCKER and to his er owned this 1955 Chevrolet or any
	olync pro
	TY III 7
n 1-11-65 of Philadelph	ia, Mississippi File # JN 44-1
SAS	dictated 1-15-65
y his document contains neither recommendations not and its contents are not to be distributed outside you	r conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your a regency.

() EEDFI	RAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FEDEI	THE PURENCY IN THE PURENCY IN THE
	Date 1-15-65
×	
	a 1955 Chevrolet. White over
ales records to	verify the sale and could not recall n he had purchased the 1955 Chevrolet.
e selling it to	the vehicle through repossession just and that he had certificate of
papers showing	when he originally obtained the vehicl
•	
	\wedge
	o byc
	objec mo
	o bro
	al pc
	al pro
	al pc
	al pro
	alpc by
	about
	County Mississippi - IN 44-1
-65 ot Neshoba	County, Mississippins JN 44-1


•	COMM	Co	
		OF INVESTIGATION	•
<u>1</u>		Date	1-15-65
		OF	ginaily
purchased serial nu	a 1955 Chevrolet four mber VC55J056553, from	r-door sedan, white Box and Wilbanks	e over green, Motor
Company.	Rinley, Mississinni.		
and produ of \$275 t	ced a bill of sale, mo verify this purchase	mber showing	ng sales price
1964. he	produced sold instant vehicle t	ecords to show the	et on May 30
	sometime in Octobe	to repossess insta	nt vehicle
	DOMOVEMO 222 00000		
			00
			O BICD
			0 610 D
			U
1-11-65	Philadelphia, Miss	issippi File# JN 4	4-1
		b)C	*
SAS		ale dictated_	1-15-65
	either recommendations her conclusions a to be distributed outside your agency.	the FBI, it is the property of the	
and and additioned file inc. (93		1056
	J O		だし ロカ



FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

2	Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.					
奻	Deleted under exemption(s) <u>b7C</u> , b7D with no segregable material available for release to you.					
	Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.					
	Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.					
	Document(s) originating with the following government agency(ies), was/were forwarded to them for direct response to you.					
	Page(s) referred for consultation to the following government agency(ies); as the information originated with them. You will be advised of availability upon return of the material to the FBI.					
	Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):					
	For your information:					
卒	The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages: 44-25706 Serial 1822 page(s) 94 + 95					

XXXXXX XXXXXX XXXXXX br JN 44-1



Interviews in an attempt to obtain his knowledge of the abduction and murder of the three civil rights workers in Neshoba County June 21, 1964, are set forth in this section. by

Dote January	11,	1965
~ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

1

Meridian,
Mississippi, was advised of the identities of the interviewing
agents and Special Agent advised him that he did not have to
make any statement, that any statement he did make could be used
against him in a court of law, and that he had a right to consult
an attorney before making any statement. No threats or promises
were made to him.

questioned concerning any knowledge he might have of the killings of the three civil rights workers, knew nothing except what he had read in the newspapers.


insisted he had nothing to discuss as he had no knowledge of the civil rights murders other than what he had read in the newspapers.

all byc

Date January 11, 1965

1

had no knowledge of anything in which they might be interested.



alex b7D

98

On 1-7-65 at Meridian, Mississippi File# Jackson 157-1224

SAS by Date dictated 1-8-65

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency:

	Meridian, Mississippi	Jack	
		albri	C
	**	all bri	C 10
		allore	C 10
		alpri	C
			•
rights murde anything.	ers and had nothing to s	ay as he did	l not know
to insist he	tell something he did had not been told anyth	not know and hing about	the civil
not know any workers.	thing about the killing	_	_
were made to		nothing to	say as he d
in a court o attorney bef	f law and that he had thore making any statement	he right to	consult an
reminded that any sta	he did not have to	o make any s	statement,
	Spec	cial Agent	again
1			

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGE

Date January 16, 1965

1-

was again advised by Special Agent that he did not have to make any statement, that any statement he did make could be used against him in a court of law, and that he had the right to consult an attorney before making any statement. No threats or promises were made to him.

as he knew nothing concerning the killing of the three civil rights workers, other than what he had read in the newspapers. He said everyone on the streets of Meridian knew as much about this matter as he did, and that he had no personal knowledge of this matter which was not general information.

olboro

On	1-16-65	Meridian,		Mississippi		Jackson 157-1224 157-343		
by_	SAs			id bic	/kg	Date dictated	44-1 1-16-65	
	document contains n d its contents are not to				4 % FBI. H	the property of the	FBI and is loaned t	

C ()

X. NEIGHBORHOOD INVESTIGATION AND PHOTOGRAPHS OF NESHOBA COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI, AREA, WHERE THREE CIVIL RIGHTS WORKERS WERE ABDUCTED AND MURDERED

(1)

- 101 -

JE 44-1

the following individuals were contacted to the che roseiptity that JUNES ADRIAN, ALTON WAYER ACCESS OF JESHIY M. SERVE MAY SHIYS been observed in the vision of the evening of June 1988 and the evening of June 1988 and

Tennestion were not rest time to the contract time of time of time of time of time of the contract time of time

Grand Evenue, advised Verceber 11, 1964, that no one paper this pusiness is on duty at the office during the avenues and he array of no one who equid by of assistance.

Carmichael-Dahire land Grand Evenue, advised December 11, 1964, that this company he perspanel who are an duty during the evening hours accorded to of no assistance in this regard.

Heridian Frank and Book Vorte Bourse December 14, 1954

ROBARTS in the neighborhood of the Warmer Grocery Store reserve

An examination of the area around Marner's Mercer's Merce

JE 44-1

The following investigation was confucted by

The area two blocks northwest of the Weshobs Combitourthouse in Philadelphia, Mississippi was observed to don! The rear entrances of the below noted establishments:

Barham Paint and Glass Company, 213 Beacon Street, J. D. Thomas Tile Company, 217 Beacon Street, Philadelphia Dry Cleaners, 223 Beacon Street

These establishments were closed during the line question on June 21-22, 1964, which was Sunday, 12:00

In addition to the above were numerous residential structures. The below noted individuals were questioned in the revest any information pertinent to this investigation.

This area also boarders on the grounds of the Philadelphi School, and Schools, Schools, Philadelphia, Rississippi, were contacted and advised that on Rine 21 and 22, 1964, there were no activities at the said all buildings were locked up. br br

It was further observed that from this general at it being in a topographical depression, it is not possible to observe highways numbered 15, 19, or 21 extending north out Palladelphia.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION concerning the abduction and murder of the orkers on June 21, 1964. the entire evening of June 24, 130 could not recall any supplement on June 21, 1964. not recall seeing my traitic being stopped by my Lole or any other vehicle. concerning the abduction and murder of the three civil rights be would immediately furnish same to the FMI. had heard many rumors on Tim organization in Meshobs County; however, by no one who belonged to such type organization. his opinion, there definitely was a Klan organization in Meshoba to however, he could not specifically name any individuals as members however, he could not specifically had been approached himself to lein that organization mor had he ever been approached himself to lein type organization. sich type organization. Jackson 44 12/9/64 104

EDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION furnished the fellowing throwns a her opinion, there is a of the who belonged to this organization; the entire evening of June 21, 1964, in her home post inv treffic being stopped by any police-type vehicle or any other type vehicle had no information regarding the absection and mirere area of vil rights workers on June 21, 1964; however, if she falls such knowledge, she would immediately contact the FML. Jackson 44-1 12/9/64 ut contains neither recommende 0.4

December 17, 1964

on Sunday, late in June, 1964, which he later learned was the night that the three civil rights workers were missing at about 11 P.M. he heard what he thought were three shots

a few minutes after he

heard the shots, two cars went by

the two cars were traveling from south

to north about 25 feet apart.

on 12/17/64 Philadelphia, Mississippi Files Jackson 44-1

SA SA

and bic amh:wr

Date dictated 12/17/64

If and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency,

Date December 17, 1964 at about 10 P.M., he heard what he thought were two shots a few minutes later two or three cars came from the direction of the shots traveling north and went slowly by the cars were traveling the cars were traveling about 10 or 15 miles per hour and were about 25 feet apart.

On	12/17/64	Philadelphia,	Mississippi File#_	Jackson 44-1
	SA	and	h7C	n = 42 m 46 h

by SA Date dictated 12/17/64

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and it loaned to your agency than its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

107



Pate December 19, 1964

believes he heard two shots and that a few minutes later three cars passed by traveling ten to fifteen miles per hour. To the best of his recollection, the night this incident took place there had been a thunder storm earlier in the evening and there had been quite a bit of thunder and lightning, but at the time the three cars passed by his house it was not raining.

D 200

On 12/19/64 Philadelphia, Mississippi File# Jackson 44-1

SAS

and by

Date dictated 12/19/64

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FSI. It is the property of the FSI end is loaned to your agency.

If and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

108

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

1

Date December 17, 1964

in late June or early July, 1964, a car drove by at a very slow rate of speed going north. the car was a creme over off red, two door Dodge. the man driving the car held his right arm beside his face so that in effect she could not see his face.

0 by C

5

on 12/17/64 of Philadelphia, Mississippi File Jackson 44-1

SA
by SA

This decument contains polither recommendations per conclusionance the File in the File and is loaned to your agency;

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of 19 FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency.

He and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.



FEDERAL BUTEAU OF INVESTIGATION

December 15.

Action processing the relation of the latest the process of the pr

location

Looking Easterly down side road to to Mississippi Highway 19 and About issippi, showing bridge at Lorent L

Looking Westerly down side toad a gray from Mississippi Highway Union, Mississippi

Looking Easterly down side road to to Mississispi Highway 19 and House Mississippi, showing bridge.

Looking South across side road and at bridge. Left leads to Mississippi it right leads to Union. Mississippi

Looking Besterly som tid vos to to Mississippi Highway 19 and Fouse Talesissippi Showing with the

inoking South at interpect on a limited good south at interpect on a limited good south at the stable are to the stable are

Looking Northerly up Mississippi All toward Philadelphia, Mississippi, des to left leading to Union, Mississiph to Mish leading to House, Mississiph Accepts Store 18 above and to le


intersection :

Meanoba County Wississippi Jackson 31-

and the second of the second s

and 1871 A 40 Date dictated 12/14/5

Course of cretality neither recommendations nor conductons of the FSL II is the property of the FSI and is beginning to the FSI and is been a second to the FSI and in the



in middle foreground.

Hlank

Scene of shooting. Side road leading to Mississippi Highway 19. Side of photo to which car is nearest leads to

Highway 19.

Scepe a shooting tale of the Mississippi Highway 19. Side of the

Mississippi Highway 19. Sice of Distriction of the Mississippi Highway 19. Sice of Distriction of the Mississippi Highway 19. See Sice of Mississippi Highway 19. See Sice of Mississippi Shooting

Looking Southerly down Mississippi in South of Philadelphia, Mississippi road leading to shooting scene is a bottom, leading to right.

Hooking Wester'y across Mississipp Highway 19, South of Philadelphis Mississippi down side word of Mississippi Shooting took place

Looking Northerly up Mississippi High toward Philadelphia, Mississippi, Roleading to lower left is side road shooting took place.

Film Pack

Segative Rumber

2-9

2-10

2-11

2-12

coation

looking down side road loading to Rississippi Righmay 19, South of Philadelphia, Mississippi mass shooting took place, medical

Looking up side road leading W.
Mississippi Highway 19. To lert
on Highway 19 leads to Philadelphia
Nississippi. (Side road is soone
of shooting.)

Locking up side road leading to Mississippi Highway 19. To left on Highway 19 leads to Philadelphia Mississippi. (Side road is soone) of shooting.)

Modeling esisterly scross list Highway 19, showing Standard Service Station, just South of Philadelphia Mississippi.

Locking southerly fown Mississippi Highway 19, showing Standard Sarving Station, just outside and South of Philadelphia, Mississippi

Looking westerly across Mississippi Highway 19, Showing Standard Service Station, just south of Philadelphia Mississippi

Looking northerly up Mississippi Highway 19, at Standard Service Station in foreground and Philadelphia, Mississippi, in distance.

Looking easterly up Mississippi.
Highway 19 at Standard Service
Station in foreground and Philadelphia
Mississippi in distance.


iln Inck Location Looking Northerly on Mississipp towards Standard Oil Service Hats middle and Philadelphia, Mississipp distance. looking Southerly on Rise Lesipp Lie toward Standard Oll Service States left side of highway, and leading from Philadelphia, Mississippi Dam on OLEN BURRAGE farm near full Mississippi, looking Westerly Dam on OLEN BURRAGE farm near Philadelini Mississippi, looking Easterly. Dem on OLEN BURRAGE farm near Philade Dam on OLEN BURRAGE farm near Philipping Mississippi, looking Southerly. Dem on OLEN BURRAGE farm near helias looking Westerly Dan on OLEN BURRAGE farm near this Mississippi, looking Northerly m on OLEM BURRAGE farm beat late. Mississippi, looking Basterily Dem on Old BurnAtt for Select State (Select Select Das on OLEN BURRAGE farm near Thiled Kississippi, looking Easterly, and Dam is alightly to right of center Dam on OLEN BURRAGE farm hear Philadelphia Mississippi looking Northerly Sos at Norther leads to Patlaceled Dam is to lower left of center



1.2. Looking Westerly down side road leading away from Mississippi Highway 19 and towards Union, Mississippi.




1-3. Looking Easterly down side road leading to Mississippi Highway 19 and House, Mississippi, showing bridge.



1-4. Looking South across side road and at bridge. To left leads to Mississippi Highway 19. To right leads to Union, Mississippi.

1078




1-7. Lokking Northerly up Mississippi Highway 19 towards Philadelphia Mississippi, across road to left leading to Union, Mississippi and to right leading to House, Mississippi. Posey's store is above and to left of intersection.

117



1-12. Side road, leading to Mississippi Highway 19 at bottom. (Scene of shooting.)

118




2-2. Looking Southerly down Mississippi Highway 19, South of Philadelphia, Mississippi. Side road leading to shooting scene is in foregroung, leading to right.



2-3. Looking Westerly across Mississippi Highway 19, South of Philadelphia, Mississippi, down side road on which shooting took place.

120




2-4. Looking Northerly up Mississippi Highway 19, towards. Philadelphia, Mississippi. Road leading to lower left is side road where shooting took place.


121



2-5. Side road leading to Mississippi Highway 19 (at left), South of Philadelphia, Mississippi, where shooting took place, as marked by parked car.



2-6. Looking up side road leading to Masissippi Highway 19. left on Highway 19 leads to Philadelphia, Mississippi. (Side road is scene of shooting.)




2-9. Looking Southerly down Mississippi Highway 19, showing Standard Service Station on left, just South of Philadelphia, Mississippi.




Looking Northerly up Mississippi Highway 19, showing Standard Service Station on right in foreground and Philadelphia, Mississippi in distance. 2-11.

1087 125 58-12-




2-12. Looking Easterly across Mississippi Highway 19 at Standard Scrvice Station. Road to left leads to Philadelphia, Mississippi.




3-3. Dem on Olen Burrage farm near Philadelphia, Miss. Looking Westerly.

127




3-4. Dem on Olen Burrage farm near Philadelphia, Mississippi. Looking Easterly.



3-5. Dam on Olen Burrage farm near Philadelphia, Mississippi. Looking Easterly.

1




3-6. Dam on Olen Burrage farm near Philadelphia, Mississippi. Looking Southerly.

58-129 1092




3-8. Nam on Olen Burrage farm near Philadelphia, Mississippi. Looking Mortherly.

58-1301093



3.9. Dam on Olen Burrage farm near Philadelphia, Mississippi. Looking Easterly.



3-12. Dan on Olen Burrage farm near Philadelphia, Mississippi. Looking Northerly. Road at bottom leads to Philadelphia to right. Dam is to lower left of center.

133

INDEX

NAME	PAGE NO.	NAME	PAGE NO.
AKIL, BERNARD L.	2, 12, 17, 19, 78, 79, 80, 82, 83	BURKES, OTHA NEAL	
AKIN: FAPL B.		BURRAGE, OLEN LOVELL	.2, 12, 15, 16, 17, 19. 85
ARLEDGE, JIMMY	2, 3, 4, 12, 17, 19, 73,	COX, HAROLD J. Judge	13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19,
ARLEDGE, MARY JANE	74, 75, 76 [°] 73		22, 23
BARNETTE, HORACE DOYLE	2, 12, 17, 19, 53, 55, 57, 58, 60, 62, 63, 64, 66, 66A,67, 69, 70, 71,		16
BARNETTE, TRAVIS MARYN	2, 12, 17, 19,	HARRIS, JAMES T.	2, 12, 17, 19,
	allance	HENDRICKS, THOMAS SAN	1094

INDEX

	1110111		ere en la companya de la companya d
NAME	PAGE NO.	NAME	PAGE NO.
HERNDON, FRANK J.	2, 12, 17, 19,	MADLIN, RAY, Lt., USN	16
HORNE, TOMMY		OWEN, ROBERT	15, 40,
		PETTUS, W. H.	=
		PIGFORD, HOWARD	
JORDAN, JAMES EDWARD	2, 18, 24, 40, 41, 43,	FOE, EARL R.	
	45, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 55, 58, 60	POSEY, BILLY WAYNE	2, 17, 1
JORDAN, MARY JANE	73		
		PRICE, CECIL RAY	2, 12, 1 18, 19, 2 24,
KILLEN, EDGAR RAY	2, 17, 19,	RAINEY, LAWRENCE ANDREV	2, 12, 1 19, 24,
		ROBERTS, ALTON WAYNE	2, 12, 1 19,
		SHARPE, JERRY MC GREW	2, 12, 1 17,
	od nc	1097 5	8-136

WEIR, Mr.

INDEX PAGE NO. NAME PAGE NO. NAME WIGGS, HARRY J. WILLIAMS, JOE, Capt., USN 16 WILLIS, RICHARD ANDREW 2, 12, 17, 19, 24, 33, 35, 36, 37, 2, 12, 17, SNOWDEN, JIMMY WILLSEY, DAVID, Lt., USN 16 WRIGHT, ROBERT, Commander, USN 16 TOWNSEND, JIMMY LEE 2, 12, 17, 19, TUCKER, HERMAN 2, 12, 17, 90, WARNER, OLIVER RICHARD,

1090 58-13' KKKTOVOKK KKKKKKKKK JN 44-1

XI. APPENDIX

WHITE KNIGHTS OF THE KU KLUX KLAN OF MISSISSIPPI

approximately 200 members of the Original Knights of the Ku Klux Klan (OKKKK) from the State of Mississippi met at Brookhaven, Mississippi. Those present decided to defect from the OKKKK and to form their own klan group in Mississippi to be known as the White Knights of the Ku Klax Klan of Mississippi.670

the aims and purposes of the White Knights of the Ku Klux Klan of Mississippi are to preserve Christian civilization, protect and promote white supremacy and the segregation of the races, to fight communism and to extend the dignity, heritage and rights of the white race of America. 670

JN 44-1

XII. INDEX

- 135*